

# **B45 Report**

## Change Log

*Document Classification: Internal.*

*Document Circulation: Board Members, Alternate Board Members, Constituency Focal Points and Committee Members.*

*This document may be shared by the Focal Points within their respective Board constituency. The document must not however be subject to any further circulation or otherwise be made public.*

Comment /question / suggestion	Edits to the report (in red)
<p><u>Suggestion</u>: to elaborate in par 5.d. on the suggestion regarding the ED may wish to consider CRG functions to be represented at a higher senior management level.</p>	<p><b>Refer to para. 5.d.</b> CRG: A number of constituencies expressed strong appreciation for the rich discussion during the pre-Board session and suggested that the topic should be a standing agenda item going forward, and noted the importance of CRG activities being embedded across Secretariat functions and appropriately represented at senior levels, <b>including suggestions from some members that the ED might consider representation at Management Executive Committee level .</b></p>
<p><u>Suggestion</u>: to elaborate in part 43 on roles and accountabilities of government and communities actors, and position of the Global Fund vis-a-vis other actors across the Global Health Landscape</p>	<p>1. <b>Refer to para. 43</b> In relation to other areas of the draft Strategy Framework, several Board Members noted their support for the placement of people and communities living with and affected by the three diseases at the center of the Strategy Framework and the Global Fund’s work. Some Board Members voiced their support for the Strategic Shift on encouraging climate, environmentally- sensitive and “One Health” approaches. Reflecting on the Partnership Enablers, it was requested that through the Strategy Narrative, <b>the complementary roles and accountabilities of each actor in the Global Fund partnership be articulated, including highlighting the importance of partnership and complementarity of implementer governments and communities, each with distinct and essential roles.</b> <del>more clarity to be specified on the roles and accountabilities of actors across the partnership.</del> <b>It was also suggested that the Strategy Narrative clarifies the position of the Global Fund vis-a-vis other actors across the Global Health Landscape, in line with the Global Action Plan for Healthy Lives and Well-being for All.</b> A number of Board Members also expressed their support for a six-year Strategy, to align with allocation and replenishment cycles and to allow the next Strategy’s impact to be measured in line with the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).</p>

Comment /question / suggestion	Edits to the report (in red)
<p><u>Suggestion</u>: in par 73 to specifically mention the C19RM monitoring framework will be shared with the SC “for comments and input, and to elaborate on the status of the C19RM M&amp;E framework including which indicators have been developed and who has been involved in their development.</p>	<p><b>Refer to para 73, and new par 74-75:</b></p> <p><i>73. C19 RM reporting: request for additional information on how the Secretariat is planning the development of the C19RM KPIs. Given the magnitude of the funding and strategic learning opportunities that C19RM 2021 presents, commentary included a request that the monitoring framework under development be shared with the SC <b>for comments and input</b> prior to operationalization. The Secretariat has developed the C19RM M&amp;E Framework (including indicators to track progress) to ensure consistent reporting of investments and progress in C19RM across countries. <del>It was developed in consultation with various teams and departments across the Global Fund and shared with partners in disease situation rooms and the Joint Working Group. It uses information from multiple data sources including programmatic, financial and procurement related data from grants as well as available information from various partners.</del> <b>It was developed through widespread consultations across the Global Fund and shared with partners in the disease situation rooms and the Joint Working Group. Further, consultations with partners are ongoing to identify specific measures to improve the quality, timeliness, non-duplication, sharing and use of COVID-19 response data. These include WHO/WHE, USG, ACT-A partners, among others.</b></i></p> <p><i>74. The framework complements the M&amp;E frameworks developed by technical partners. It builds on and uses existing programmatic indicators and data from partners to avoid duplication of efforts. In addition, financial, procurement and service disruption related information will be sourced from Global Fund grants as well as in-country surveys and spot checks.</i></p> <p><i>75. The C19RM M&amp;E Framework allows consistent reporting of investments and progress in C19RM across countries. It was developed to respond to the Global Fund data needs for management oversight, agility in investment decisions and course correction during implementation. In addition to providing information on COVID-19 burden in countries and extent of service disruption, it addresses the reporting commitments outlined in the paper to the Board. The C19RM M&amp;E framework is being operationalized together with C19RM assurance and enhanced monitoring and oversight of C19RM activities at country level</i></p>

Comment /question / suggestion	Edits to the report (in red)
<p><u>Suggestion</u>: in old par 76, to indicate include when the independent TERG evaluation (e.g., on the design/planning state) will be shared</p>	<p><b>76. Refer to new par 78 (old par 76):</b> Assessing the impact of C19RM investments to support learning on what has worked or not worked well for C19RM delivery will also be examined through independent evaluation managed by the TERG (first phase of evaluation currently at the design/planning stage). The Secretariat will also coordinate with ACT-A partners on evaluations/studies and modelling approaches to estimate impact. <b>The TERG will be presenting its C19RM evaluation approach at the upcoming 16<sup>th</sup> SC meeting scheduled in July 2021.</b></p>
<p><u>Suggestion</u>: in par 35, to capture under (35.a) the preparation of the 2022 budget, as part of the Value for Money efforts and operational efficiencies, and</p> <p>(35.e) consideration of the increase in use of external human resources as part of workforce planning to prepare the next OPEX budget</p>	<p><b>Refer to Par 35:</b> (35.a) Efficiency and Value for Money: the Secretariat should explore all operating efficiencies and present a holistic cost view, <b>including in preparation of the 2022 budget</b>, and (35.e) Workforce planning: elaboration on how workforce planning is taken into consideration in planning for the next OPEX budget, <b>including in relation to the increased use of external human resources;</b></p>

*Document Classification: Internal.*  
*Document Circulation: Board Members, Alternate Board Members, Constituency Focal Points and Committee Members.*

*This document may be shared by the Focal Points within their respective Board constituency. The document must not however be subject to any further circulation or otherwise be made public.*